

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/868,667	09/04/2001	Eric Jeffrey Lannert	05222.00179	2990
29638	7590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF AND ATTORNEYS FOR ACCENTURE			STARKS, WILBERT L	
	10 S. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/868,667	LANNERT ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicall) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	* ***				
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without cance</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: So		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10 ☐ Other:		,			

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2121 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's "learning objectives" by themselves are not "concrete" or "tangible." They are goals for human learning...human thought. E.g., the goals could be purely mathematical, that is, goals for learning mathematical equations or principles. Such things are per se nonstatutory, even of you say someone will learn them.

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2121